Interview Summary	10/588,703	DIJON ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	MARIANNE L. PADGETT	1792	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):			
(1) <u>MARIANNE L. PADGETT</u> .	(3)		
(2) Jack Doughty.	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>05 January 2010</u> .			
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant 2)☑ applicant's representative]			
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description:			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>Claims of record</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed: Art of record.			
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.			
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Discussed possible claim amendments to address 112 & Art rejections, noting that clear support for all amendments should be shown & or explanation of why the original specification necessarily would have been expected to mean or encompass Amendment language. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.</u>			
/Marianne L. Padgett/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1792			

Application No.

Applicant(s)